

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/448,420	Applicant(s) SEUL ET AL.
	Examiner Padmashri Ponnaluri	Art Unit 1639

All Participants:

(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri.

(2) Applicants attorney Eric Mirabel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

173-174

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner has called applicants attorney to inform that claims 173-174 are allowable over prior art and the claims are allowable if rewritten as independent claims to recite all the limitations of the base claims and intervening claims. Applicants attorney has informed that they would prefer the Examiner's answer ~~to~~ in response to the Appeal Brief filed on 1/04, not the allowance of claims 173-174.